



TITLE IX ACTION CLASSIFICATIONS DEFINED

	This number represents all reports assessed by the Equity and Compliance Offices during this time. Reports are received through an online form, in person, by email, phone or other method.
	Jurisdiction provides the authority to investigate. Jurisdiction depends on number of factual elements, including the location of the alleged incident, affiliation of those involved, and any impact on campus. For example, if a non-student sexually assaulted a UA student off campus, UA would not have Title IX jurisdiction to investigate. Supportive measures are made available to the complainant.
	Reports to the Equity and Compliance offices may include allegations that do not meet the definition for prohibited conduct under Title IX, such as a single comment of a sexual nature. While inappropriate, this does not rise to the level of a Title IX policy violation. In these cases, supportive measures are made available to the complainant and the issue is forwarded to the appropriate department for action under other applicable policies.
	Often times a complainant in a sexual harassment or sexual assault case does not want the university to proceed with an investigation. In these situations, a Gatekeeper Analysis is completed to assess whether the University must proceed despite the complainant's wishes. Factors include involvement of a pattern of offenses, predation, force, or involvement of a minor. In other cases, investigation may be possible due to insufficient evidence to proceed with an investigation. Supportive measures are made available to the complainants in both types of cases.
	This number is a subtotal and represents the Title IX reports that are within University of Alaska jurisdiction, constitute a Title IX allegation, and where the complainant supports investigation or the Gatekeeper analysis requires investigation.
	If the complainant, the respondent, and the Title IX coordinator all agree that an informal resolution should be pursued, the Title IX coordinator will attempt to facilitate a resolution of the conflict that is agreeable to all parties. Supportive measures are made available to the complainant and respondent as appropriate.
	Investigation is underway but has not been completed at time of reporting. Supportive measures are made available to the complainant and respondent.
	The respondent was found not responsible after a university Title IX investigator conducted an impartial investigation using the preponderance of the evidence standard, which requires a showing that it is more likely than not that conduct violating Title IX policy occurred. Supportive measures are made available to the complainant and respondent.
	The respondent was found responsible after a university Title IX investigator conducted an impartial investigation using the preponderance of the evidence standard, which requires a showing that it is more likely than not that conduct violating Title IX occurred. Supportive measures and remedies are made available to the complainant and the respondent.

INITIAL ASSESSMENT CLASSIFICATIONS

* As defined in Board of Regents P

- SexBased Harassment Foundations for Higher Education hosted by ATIXA
- Clery Coordinator Training hosted by D. Stafford & Associates

UAS Residence Life staff
offered in-person Title IX training in both the Fall and Spring semesters.
Residence Life staff will be participating in Title IX training on January 10, 2024.
The OIE director

Associate Director/Deputy Title IX position. This position is expected to be filled by February 2024.

The Department of Education is expected to release its new Title IX regulations later this year. When they do, UAS OEC will have to review and amend UA policy to remain in compliance.

The statewide OEC offices have developed a collaborative relationship, assisting one another amongst staff changes as well as through identified conflicts of interest. In addition, assistance and guidance from General Counsel has been prompt, responsive, and helpful.

The new director was able to receive appropriate trainings for her position soon after assuming the directorship and was able to gradually take on the full responsibilities of the position relatively quickly. She maintains a strong presence at UAS and is already well-connected with internal stakeholders and the liaisons at the community